

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRADLEY KEITH SLEIGHTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENT, COUNTY OF, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01071

Hon. Janet T. Neff

Case referred to Magistrate Judge  
Ellen S. Carmody for handling of  
all matters under §636(a) and  
§636(b)(1)(A) and for submission of  
recommendations on dispositive  
motions under §636(b)(1)(B)

**ORDER SETTING RULE 16 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. Rule 16 Scheduling Conference: A scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 is hereby scheduled for **January 28, 2014 at 10:00 AM** before the Honorable Ellen S. Carmody, 650 Federal Building, 110 Michigan, N.W., **Grand Rapids**, Michigan.

2. Matters to be Considered at the Scheduling Conference: The purpose of the scheduling conference is to review the joint status report and to explore methods of expediting the disposition of the action by: establishing early and ongoing case management; discouraging wasteful pretrial activities; establishing limits on discovery, facilitating the settlement of a case; establishing an early, firm trial date; and improving the quality of the trial through thorough preparation.

3. Presumptive Limitations: In accordance with Rules 26 and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court requires the following, unless all parties stipulate or a party demonstrates good cause for deviation:

(a) Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures will be required early in the case, with a continuing duty to supplement.

(b) Rule 26(a)(2) expert witness reports will be required before the close of discovery;

(c) Interrogatories will be limited to 25 per side and depositions will be limited to 10 per side, each of no more than 7 hours duration.

If disclosures are required under Rule 26, the Court may preclude the calling of witnesses or the presentation of evidence as a sanction for failure to make timely and complete disclosures. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).

The Court normally allows six months for discovery, but will consider a shorter or longer period at the scheduling conference.

4. Meeting of Parties and Preparation of Joint Status Report: At least seven days before the Rule 16 conference, counsel (or unrepresented parties) shall meet to discuss the following: the nature and basis of the parties' claims and defenses, the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case, the formulation of a discovery plan, and the other topics listed below. Plaintiff shall be responsible for scheduling the meeting, which may be conducted in person or by telephone. After the meeting, the parties shall prepare a joint status report which must be e-filed no later than **January 23, 2014**. The following form shall be used:

A Rule 16 Scheduling Conference is scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_, before Hon. Ellen S. Carmody. Appearing for the parties as counsel will be:

(List the counsel who will attend the scheduling conference. Counsel for all parties must attend. Parties not represented by counsel must appear in person. Parties who are represented are encouraged, but not required, to attend).

1) Jurisdiction: The basis for the court's jurisdiction is:

(Set forth a statement of the basis for the court's jurisdiction. Indicate all objections.)

2) Jury or Non-Jury: This case is to be tried [before a jury] [by the court as trier of law and fact].

3) Judicial Availability: The parties [agree] [do not agree] to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct any

and all further proceedings in the case, including trial, and to order the entry of final judgment. **[NOTE TO COUNSEL:** If the parties consent to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and so state in the joint Status Report, an Order of Reference will be issued transferring the matter to the magistrate judge and allowing appeals to be taken to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(c).].

4) Geographic Transfer: The parties are advised of the possibility, pursuant to W.D. Mich. LCivR 3.3(h), of a transfer of the action to a judge located in a different city, on the basis of the convenience of counsel, the parties, or witnesses. Reassignment of the action shall be at the discretion of the court and shall require the consent of all parties and of both the transferor and transferee judge. The parties shall advise whether a transfer for geographic convenience is warranted in this case.

5) Statement of the Case: This case involves:

(Set forth a brief description of the claims and defenses, sufficient to acquaint the court with the general nature of the case as well as the factual and legal issues requiring judicial resolution.)

6) Pendent State Claims: This case [does] [does not] include pendent state claims.

(If pendent state claims are presented, include a statement describing such claims, and all objections to the court retaining the pendent claims.)

7) Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings: The parties expect to file all motions for joinder of parties to this action and to file all motions to amend the pleadings by \_\_\_\_\_.

8) Disclosures and Exchanges:

(i) Fed.R. Civ.P. 26(a)(1) requires initial disclosures, including identification of lay witnesses, unless the court orders otherwise. Any party objecting to Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures must set forth below in detail the nature and reasons for the objection. If one or more party objects, no

initial disclosure shall be required until the court resolves the objection at the Rule 16 conference. In the absence of an objection, initial disclosures will be required of all parties.

(Set forth below a proposed schedule for initial disclosures.)

(ii) The plaintiff expects to be able to furnish the names of plaintiff's expert witness by \_\_\_\_\_. Defendant expects to be able to furnish the names of defendant's expert witnesses by \_\_\_\_\_.

(iii) It would (would not) be advisable in this case to exchange written expert witness reports as contemplated by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2). Reports, if required, should be exchanged according to the following schedule:

(Set forth the proposed schedule for exchange of expert witness reports.)

(iv) The parties have agreed to make available the following documents without the need of a formal request for production:

From plaintiff to defendant by \_\_\_\_\_

(Describe documents)

From defendant to plaintiff by \_\_\_\_\_

(Describe documents)

- OR -

The parties are unable to agree on voluntary production at this time.

9) Discovery: The parties believe that all discovery proceedings can be completed by \_\_\_\_\_. The parties recommend the following discovery plan:

(As required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), set forth proposed plan of discovery, including subjects on which discovery may be needed and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on certain issues. Also set forth any recommendations as to limitations on discovery. Limitations may include the number of depositions, interrogatories and requests for admissions, or

limitations on the scope of discovery pending resolution of dispositive motions or alternative dispute resolution proceedings. State whether the presumptive time limits for depositions (one day of seven hours) should be modified in this case. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1).)

10) Disclosure or Discovery of Electronically Stored Information: The parties have discussed the production of electronically stored information and suggest that such information be handled as follows:

[State whether any party has electronically stored information that will be subject to disclosure or discovery and set forth any proposals concerning the form of production.]

11) Assertion of Claims of Privilege or Work-Product Immunity After Production:

[State whether the parties have agreed on a procedure to address claims of privilege or work product immunity for items inadvertently produced during discovery.]

12) Motions: The parties acknowledge that W.D. Mich. LCivR 7.1(d) requires the moving party to ascertain whether the motion will be opposed. All motions shall affirmatively state the efforts of the moving party to comply with the obligation created by Rule 7.1(d).

The following dispositive motions are contemplated by each party:

(Set forth all contemplated dispositive motions.)

The parties anticipate that all dispositive motions will be filed by \_\_\_\_\_.

13) Alternative Dispute Resolution: The parties recommend that this case be submitted to the following method(s) of alternative dispute resolution:

(Set forth each party's position with respect to the preferred method, if any, of alternative dispute resolution. Methods used in this district include, but are not limited to, voluntary facilitative mediation (W.D. Mich.

L.Civ.R.16.3), early neutral evaluation (W.D. Mich. L.Civ.R.16.4), and case evaluation (MCR 2.403 and W.D. Mich. L.Civ.R. 16.5).)

For the local rules regarding all forms of ADR used in this district and for lists of mediators, case evaluators and arbitrators, see the court's website at [www.miwd.uscourts.gov](http://www.miwd.uscourts.gov).

14) Length of Trial: Counsel estimate the trial will last approximately \_\_\_\_\_ days total, allocated as follows: \_\_\_\_\_ days for plaintiff's case, \_\_\_\_\_ days for defendant's case, \_\_\_\_\_ days for other parties.

15) Prospects of Settlement: The status of settlement negotiations is:

(Indicate persons present during negotiations, progress toward settlement, and issues that are obstacles to settlement.)

16) Electronic Document Filing System: Counsel are reminded that Local Civil Rule 5.7(a) now requires that attorneys file and serve all documents electronically, by means of the Court's CM/ECF system, unless the attorney has been specifically exempted by the Court for cause or a particular document is not eligible for electronic filing under the rule. The Court expects all counsel to abide by the requirements of this rule. *Pro se* parties (litigants representing themselves without the assistance of a lawyer) must submit their documents to the Clerk on paper, in a form complying with the requirements of the local rules. Counsel opposing a *pro se* party must file documents electronically but serve *pro se* parties with paper documents in the traditional manner.

17) Other: Set forth any special characteristics that may warrant extended discovery, accelerated disposition by motion, or other factors relevant to the case.

The joint status report shall be approved and signed by all counsel of record and by any party who represents him or herself.

5. Designation of Magistrate Judge: The Honorable Janet T. Neff has designated United States Magistrate Judge Ellen S. Carmody to assist in the processing of this case, and this case has been referred to Magistrate Judge Ellen S. Carmody for for handling of all matters under §636(a) and §636(b)(1)(A) and for submission of recommendations on dispositive motions under §636(b)(1)(B)

6. Case Manager: Any question concerning this Order or the scheduling conference should be directed to Cynthia Hosner, Judicial Assistant to Magistrate Judge Ellen S. Carmody, (616) 456-2528.

DATED: December 26, 2013

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody  
ELLEN S. CARMODY  
United States Magistrate Judge